

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/973,261 | 10/09/2001 | Eric Gustave Lundquist | A01064 | 6194 |
| 7590 11/17/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Wendy A. Choi | | | LU, C CAIXIA | |
| Rohm and Haas Company 100 Independence Mall West Philadelphia, PA 19106 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1713 DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004 | |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/973,261 | LUNDQUIST ET AL. | | | |
| Advisory Addion | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Caixia Lu | 1713 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | |
| l — | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 October 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furthe | | see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be | elow); | , | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or ☐ | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | on(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | |
| 7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,3,6,13-15,21 and 23-26</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>4,5,7-10 and 12</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | • | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | Parker hu | | | |
| | | Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner | | | |
| S. Palent and Trademark Office | | Art Unit: 1713 | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There are still new matters in the amended claims since no support is found for the following subject matter of the claims in the Specification as originally filed: (i) in claim 4, the divinylbenzene/ vinylsilioxane copolymers of line 6; (ii) the at least one inorganic polymer of line 2 and the combination thereof of line 3. Applicants are advised to filed an RCE and cancel the new matter together with the withdrawn claims 27-41 and 42-51 in order to put the current applicant in the condition for allowance.